



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Endocrinology in the time of COVID-19

Citation for published version:

Wake, DJ, Gibb, FW, Kar, P, Kennon, B, Klonoff, DC, Rayman, G, Rutter, MK, Sainsbury, C & Semple, RK 2020, 'Endocrinology in the time of COVID-19: Remodelling Diabetes Services and Promoting Innovation', *European Journal of Endocrinology*. <https://doi.org/10.1530/EJE-20-0377>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1530/EJE-20-0377](https://doi.org/10.1530/EJE-20-0377)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

European Journal of Endocrinology

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Endocrinology in the time of COVID-19: remodelling diabetes services and emerging innovation

Deborah J Wake^{1,2}, Fraser W Gibb², Partha Kar³, Brian Kennon⁴, David C Klonoff⁵, Gerry Rayman^{4,5}, Martin K Rutter^{8,9}, Chris Sainsbury^{4,10} Robert K Semple^{2,11}

¹ Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, EH16 4UX

² Edinburgh Centre for Endocrinology & Diabetes, NHS Lothian, Edinburgh, UK

³ Portsmouth Hospital NHS Trust

⁴ NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde

⁵ Mills-Peninsula Medical Center, San Mateo California USA

⁶ Ipswich Hospital, East Suffolk and North East Essex NHS Trust

⁷ University of East Anglia

⁸ Division of Diabetes, Endocrinology and Gastroenterology, School of Medical Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

⁹ Manchester Diabetes Centre, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester Academic Health Sciences Centre, Manchester, UK

¹⁰ Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham, UK

¹¹ Centre for Cardiovascular Sciences, The Queens Medical Research Institute, University of Edinburgh, EH16 4TJ

Corresponding Author:

Dr Deborah Wake, Centre for Medical informatics, Usher Institute, BioQuarter 9, University of Edinburgh, 9 Little France Road, Edinburgh, EH16 4UX

Tel: 0131 650 1000 Email: d.wake@ed.ac.uk

Key Words: diabetes, COVID-19, care pathways, technology, digital health, redesign, innovation, inpatient, outpatient, remote monitoring, risk prediction, online

Word count (excluding references and figure legends): 2395 words (excluding foreword) 2485 words including foreword

Disclosures:

Debbie Wake is Co-founder and CEO of MyWayDigital Health; David C. Klonoff is a consultant to Abbott, Ascensia, Dexcom, EOFlow, Fractyl, Lifecare, Novo, Roche, and Thirdway

Running title: Diabetes service delivery and COVID-19

This manuscript is part of a commissioned series of urgent clinical guidance documents on the management of endocrine conditions in the time of Coronavirus Disease 19 (COVID-19). This clinical guidance document underwent expedited open peer review by reviewer 1 (centre), reviewer 2 (centre), reviewer 3 (centre), reviewer 4 (centre)

Disclaimer: Due to the emerging nature of the COVID-19 crisis this document is not based on extensive systematic review or meta-analysis, but on rapid expert consensus. The

document should be considered as guidance only; it is not intended to determine an absolute standard of medical care. Healthcare staff need to consider individual circumstances when devising the management plan for a specific patient.

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic is a major international emergency leading to unprecedented medical, economic and societal challenges. Countries around the globe are facing challenges with diabetes care and are similarly adapting care delivery, with local cultural nuances. People with diabetes suffer disproportionately from acute COVID-19 with higher rates of serious complications and death. In-patient services need specialist support to appropriately manage glycaemia in people with known and undiagnosed diabetes presenting with COVID-19. Due to the restrictions imposed by the pandemic, people with diabetes may suffer longer-term harm caused by inadequate clinical support and less frequent monitoring of their condition and diabetes-related complications. Outpatient management need to be reorganised to maintain remote advice and support services, focusing on proactive care for the highest risk, and using telehealth and digital services for consultations, self-management and remote monitoring, where appropriate. Stratification of patients for face-to-face or remote follow-up should be based on a balanced risk assessment. Public health and national organisations have generally responded rapidly with guidance on care management, but the pandemic has created a tension around prioritisation of communicable vs non-communicable disease. Resulting challenges in clinical decision making are compounded by a reduced clinical workforce. For many years, increasing diabetes mellitus incidence has been mirrored by rising preventable morbidity and mortality due to complications, yet innovation in service delivery has been slow. While the current focus is on limiting the terrible harm caused by the pandemic, it is possible that a positive

lasting legacy of COVID-19 might include accelerated innovation in chronic disease management.

Foreword

This publication was created through collaborative working between UK and International diabetes leaders and experts. It represents the situation, as of 12 April 2020, 4 weeks post 'lock-down', at which time 10,621 people have died of COVID-19 in the UK (1) and 99,690 internationally (2). The current UK Government advice to people with diabetes is to follow general public 'stay at home guidance'. Shielding/ complete self-isolation is not currently stipulated for diabetes, unlike very high-risk individuals with severe respiratory illnesses or compromised immunity. This advice is largely mirrored internationally.

Diabetes and COVID-19

COVID-19 has resulted in the biggest disruption to healthcare delivery in living memory. New policy and healthcare working practice have been rapidly introduced. This article focuses on changes to diabetes care delivery during the pandemic. Currently it is unclear whether people with diabetes are at higher risk of contracting COVID-19. However, they are clearly at higher risk of poor outcomes once infected. Among 7,162 US cases reported by the CDC (28th March), the percentage of COVID-19 patients with at least one underlying health condition (e.g diabetes) was nearly 3-fold higher among those requiring i) intensive care unit admission (78%) and ii) hospitalisation (71%) compared to people not hospitalised (27%) (3,4). People with diabetes may also have a ~2-3 fold increased mortality following COVID-19 infection in early reports (4,5). Age, gender, multimorbidity, low socioeconomic

status, and degree of pathogen exposure are risk factors for disease severity, but it is not yet clear which are independent contributors (5,6,7,8,9).

Acute Care for Individual People with Diabetes and COVID-19

Acute illness suspected or confirmed to be due to COVID-19 may require modification of current guidelines, particularly for safe use by staff unfamiliar with diabetes management, to prevent hypoglycaemia and severe hyperglycaemia (10). Guidance to emergency/admissions departments should include glucose measurement on all admissions, as a significant number of COVID-19 positive patients not previously known to have diabetes present with marked hyperglycaemia. Additionally ketones should be checked both in everyone with known diabetes, and in those without known diabetes who present with a blood glucose above 12mmol/L. Anecdotal reports suggest that unusual presentations of diabetic metabolic emergencies including diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) or mixed DKA and Hyperosmolar Hyperglycaemic State (HHS) in type 2 diabetes with the risk of DKA being greater in those on SGLT-2 inhibitors. SGLT-2 inhibitors and metformin should therefore be stopped in all patients on acute presentation, given potential association with metabolic emergencies and of AKI.

. *Figure 1* gives useful 'Front Door' guidance, for individual patients based on experience from UK centres (https://www.diabetes.org.uk/resources-s3/public/2020-04/COvID_Front_Door_v1.0.pdf). Non-COVID-19 related DKA and HHS should be managed using standard protocols and additional support implemented to reduce admissions in known high risk individuals (see later).

Diabetes-related Population Health Risks during the COVID-19 Pandemic

In the general population, restrictions on travel and person-to-person contact may lead to worsening of risk factors for complications and poorer health outcomes from established diabetes, and rising diabetes incidence through:

Changes in Lifestyle

- More sedentary behaviour/ less activity
- Lack of access to healthy foods
- Lack of face-to-face, peer and professional support for weight loss/ healthy lifestyle
- Reduced carer/ family support for self-management
- Increased alcohol consumption (11)
- Deterioration in mental health, due to stress and isolation and reduced wider family network/ peer support.

Reduced Population Complications Screening/ Acute Treatment Changes

- Failure to monitor renal function appropriately in people with CKD leading to avoidable admissions with fluid overload, anaemia or electrolyte disturbance
- Failure to monitor blood pressure/ other CVD risk factors appropriately leading to preventable CVD events
- Delay in management of diabetes-related foot ulcers/ foot infections and sight-threatening retinopathy leading to preventable amputations and blindness.
- Inappropriate continuation and discontinuation of medications (12)

Role of Diabetes Specialist/ Community Teams during the time of COVID-19

It is vital to ***maintain patient safety*** while accelerating patient flow through the hospital and delivering closely managed outpatient services to prevent avoidable admissions and readmissions. Achieving this involves:

- 1) **Reducing Risk of COVID-19 Infection** through clear articulation of evolving COVID-19 advice to people with diabetes, enabling an understand of risk status and expectations around healthcare service interaction
- 2) **Preventing** people with diabetes in the community falling ill from diabetes-related complications (hypoglycaemia, diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA), hyperosmolar hyperglycaemic state (HHS), foot infections)
- 3) **Assisting** people with diabetes out of hospital when they become unwell to prevent admission for diabetes-related complications (as above)
- 4) **Supporting inpatient teams** (especially on COVID wards) to manage people with acute diabetes complications safely, including those on ICU with high insulin requirements
- 5) **Providing education for front-line inpatient teams** who are unfamiliar with diabetes management
- 6) **Facilitating early discharge** to the community with programmed daily diabetes follow up to prevent readmission
- 7) **Supporting Primary Care** diabetes management

It is critical to maintain a skeleton service capable of delivering 1, 2, 3 and 6 to keep people with diabetes out of hospital, as well as a more significant service for diabetes care in hospital. This should ideally include a limited inpatient/ community weekend service.

Practical Advice for Ongoing Out-Patient Management

Challenges of outpatient care delivery are compounded by reduced staffing levels due to illness and deployment of clinicians to “frontline” duties. Smaller numbers of staff are thus manning skeleton outpatient services. The duration of outpatient service disruption is currently uncertain.

i) Short Term Service Interruption Guidance (e.g. 1-3 months)

Suggestions:

- Face to face clinic review should only occur where health benefits of attendance outweigh the risks associated with patient movement (i.e. potential individual and wider societal COVID-19 spread).
- Pregnancy, foot services, and management of newly diagnosed people with Type 1 diabetes may need to continue at full capacity, as per national guidance (13).
- Delay routine screening tests unless the patient is at very high risk of deterioration e.g. due to age, trajectory of previous test results or past history.
- Accept that numbers achieving routine care processes (e.g. BP, lipid, HbA1C, renal function, ACR, feet and eye screening (14)) will reduce.
- Continue to advocate national/ personal targets for metabolic parameters, using remote monitoring where possible.
- Aim for complications prevention through a focus on highest risk populations.
- Become familiar with and signpost patients to credible online sources of advice, education/ self-management and remote monitoring tools.

- Pool resources across regions to resource diabetes care services optimally
- If staff resource depletes due to illness/ reallocation to COVID-19 duties, then focus on supporting highest risk patients only,
- Implement and publicise a telephone/ online advice service

Figure 2 (15) contains a suggested outpatient prioritisation flow chart.

ii) Medium to Longer Term Service Interruption (e.g. 3-12 months)

As normal service interruption lengthens, the risk of harm due to delayed screening that could have informed early intervention and complication avoidance increases. It may become necessary to enable routine diabetes screening, using facilities and procedures that minimise COVID-19 transmission risk. Patients at highest risk of deterioration should be prioritised using risk algorithms if possible.

Risk Assessment in Care Delivery

Whilst some IT-integrated risk assessment tools are available (e.g. Eclipse; <https://www.prescribingservices.org>), they have not been adapted for risk assessment around routine care delivery during COVID-19, which is generally being done intuitively. More advanced data-driven machine learning models could support decisions. Models already exist for prediction of i) mortality (16), ii) glycaemic control deterioration, iii) DKA (17), iv) eye disease (18,19,20), v) foot ulcers/amputations, vi) kidney failure (20) and vii) cardiovascular complications (20). Most models however remain within academic papers and few are linked to front-line user interfaces supporting real-time care prioritisation (21). In addition, previously developed models were trained in data drawn from contexts where

populations studied were largely attending regular, scheduled clinical review/ screening visits. The current pandemic has driven an unprecedented degree of routine clinical review deferral. An excess all-cause mortality in weeks 12 and 13 of 2020 has been observed when compared with the same weeks in 5 years to 2019, which is not fully attributable to COVID-19 (22) suggesting that COVID-19 may be impacting healthcare system performance.

Machine learning models predicting individual risk of adverse outcomes due explicitly to COVID-19 service disruption could prove useful for risk stratification and care planning. We support a call for urgent work in this area.

Outpatient Care Delivery; Potential for Remote/ Digital Tools

1. Consultations

In compliance with the 'stay at home' mandate, most structured education and non-urgent routine care has been cancelled or is being delivered where necessary through remote consultation. Remote consultations may take longer than face to face, but rates of "nonattendance" may be lower, and patient satisfaction can be high (23). On-line prescribing, reordering, dispensing and delivery should be encouraged.

2. Education

Patient self-management is key to good diabetes outcomes, encompassing management of lifestyle, insulin dose titration, foot self-care, and adherence to treatment plans. Health care professional (HCP) support can be delivered using on-line tools or telephone, although many patients value the use of physical aids and peer support of face to face/ group sessions. Online structured education resources include: online DESMOND (<https://www.desmond-project.org.uk>), BERTIE (type 1 diabetes)

(<https://www.bertieonline.org.uk>), and the MyDiabetesMyWay (MDMW)/ MyWay Digital platform (<https://mywaydigitalhealth.co.uk>). Apps incorporating 1:1 digital coaching include; Oviva (<https://oviva.com/uk/en/>), Changing health (<https://www.changinghealth.com>), Our Path/ Second Nature (<https://www.changinghealth.com>), Liva (<https://livahealthcare.com>), Omada (<https://www.omadahealth.com>) and Livongo (<https://www2.livongo.com>). Some providers are offering discounted or free services during the pandemic. Use of public information sites for COVID-19/ sick day rules are valued. The NHS Scotland MyDiabetesMyWay COVID-19/ sick day advice page had 13,443 views with 98% positive ratings/ comments (n=368) over 3 weeks since 16th March. Digitally supported diabetes self-management has the potential to be effective (24) and could be cost saving (25). Whether uptake increases during the pandemic period, preventing care deterioration, remains to be seen (26).

3. Remote Monitoring

- a. **Glucose Monitoring.** Remote upload of home glucose recordings enabling remote health care professional review and feedback, is possible through proprietary systems such as LibreView, CareLink, Clarity, glucose data aggregator systems such as Diasend and Tidepool, and other independent applications. Pre-COVID-19, the number of 'at home' glucose uploads versus 'in-clinic' uploads was limited. Early NHS Scotland data (*unpublished*) suggests a steady (7%) increase in home LibreView uploads during last 4 weeks. Patients with gestational diabetes (GDM) attend hospital approximately fortnightly during later pregnancy. Glucose data feedback

could be facilitated remotely using technology including specific systems e.g. Sensyne Health's GDM-Health app (<https://www.sensynehealth.com/gdm-health>).

b. Activity, physiological parameters and 'Internet of things' monitoring.

Many apps/ online platforms enable sharing of home activity, blood pressure, weight and other readings with health care teams, either through automatic/ bluetooth connectivity or manual data entry (e.g. <https://mwdh.co.uk>, <https://mymhealth.com>). These could support ongoing lifestyle change and enable treatment optimisation.

c. Biochemical Blood and Urine Testing. Nationally recommended blood and urine screening (13) may need deferred. Remote consultation without recent HbA1c results is challenging, particularly if no home glucose data is available. Products enabling HbA1c home testing (27,28), and systems using smart phone embedded technology enabling 'at home' diagnostics (e.g. DipiO ; [https://healthy.io / Testcard](https://healthy.io/Testcard); <https://testcard.com> for urine Albumin Creatinine Ratio), could help, but none have been widely implemented to date.

d. Foot Care. Remote solutions to support neuro-vascular assessment, preventative podiatry work and active foot disease treatments are limited but simple at home neuropathy tests such as the 'Ipswich touch the toes test' may be sufficiently reliable when performed by a relative or carer (29) and home foot pressure mats/ remote neuropathy detection systems could assist where available (30). Home upload of digital photographs with or without additional wound tracking applications (e.g. <https://healthy.io/wound>) may reduce attendance episodes for ulcer treatment.

e. **Eye Screening.** Currently, eye screening is widely facilitated through industrial screening cameras in clinical centres linked to systematic image review with or without artificial intelligence grading (31). Smart phones have been used as retinal cameras, but the technology does not yet enable individual home ownership, and is currently largely utilised through community hubs, e.g. in rural India (32).

As routine complication screening declines, a deterioration in outcomes is predicted.

Whether remote solutions can be rapidly implemented to plug the gap remains to be seen.

Diabetes screening, monitoring and education will ultimately be deliverable from the home, through standard personal mobile devices. The main barriers will be changing healthcare organisation, procurement/ reimbursement practices, and supporting end users. Whilst 80% of UK adults own smartphones (33) and 95 % of 16-74 year olds in UK access the internet regularly (34), the majority of people with type 2 diabetes are over age 65 (35, 36) and some may lack skills to independently use digital tools. Technology user support in healthcare is distinctly under-resourced, and changes in service delivery during this stage of the pandemic may increase health inequalities. There are also concerns that mental health may deteriorate due to stress and social isolation. Online tools and telephone support may require signposting for high risk individuals.

Innovation and Procurement/ Commissioning

The COVID-19 pandemic has enforced a period of disruptive innovation. As a result, information governance barriers are crumbling and procurement rules are being rewritten. One NHS trust, saw an 18 -month planned Microsoft Teams implementation happen over a weekend, and a 20-year rule disallowing health care professional-patient email contact changed overnight. In the USA, reimbursement barriers for telemedicine services are

rapidly evaporating. A reform of procurement procedures has enabled rapid commissioning and deployment of services and systems. The first wave has rightly focused on solutions with immediate impact, such as COVID-19 testing kits, vaccine development, hand wash, and ventilators, but attention may turn to tools supporting chronic conditions management if social distancing measures continue.

Diabetes Opportunities Resulting from COVID-19 Restrictions

may include:

- The use of technology/ remote consultations may increase in the long term, meaning more flexible care delivery accommodating patient lifestyle, work and carer commitments (with secondary environmental (less travel), and economic (less time off work) benefits (37)), replacing rigidly timed protocolised face-to-face appointments. Care delivery may also better support acute needs; including proactive delivery of sick day guidance.
- Rigid reliance on standard guidelines for populations may give way to more individualised patient- centred care. Risk stratification may increasingly become part of service delivery, with proportionately more time focusing and re-engaging those at highest risk of deterioration including disengaged populations. This could transform care outcomes and cost of delivery; currently a small percentage of high-risk diabetes patients consume disproportionate costs due to treatment of complications (38, 39).
- Efficiency in care delivery could improve through continuation of COVID-19 clinic service and personnel restructuring.

Conclusions

The COVID-19 pandemic has requiring rapid adaptation of care delivery, supported by governmental and national body recommendations, but has created a conflict around where care priorities should lie. Whether similar systematic change is possible in less developed, lower resourced countries remains to be seen. People with diabetes could suffer disproportionately during COVID-19. Service restructuring and digital tools may reduce risks of health decline during this period. Whilst the current focus is on limiting the terrible harm caused by the pandemic, it is possible that COVID-19 might leave a legacy of accelerated deployment of innovative pathways approaches to chronic disease management supporting person-centred care.

Funding:

This research did not receive any specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sector. RKS is supported by the Wellcome trust grant 210752/Z/18/Z.

References

1. UK Government. Number of coronavirus (COVID-19) cases and risk in the UK. Available at : <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/coronavirus-covid-19-information-for-the-public> [accessed 11th April 2020]
2. World Health Organisation. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report – 82. Available at: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200411-sitrep-82-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=74a5d15_2 [accessed 11th April 2020]
3. CDC COVID-19 Response Team Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Children - United States, February 12-April 2, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2020 Apr 10;69(14):422-426. Available from doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm6914e4.
4. CDC COVID-19 Response Team. Preliminary Estimates of the Prevalence of Selected Underlying Health Conditions Among Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 - United States, February 12-March 28, 2020. *MWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2020 3;69(13):382-386. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm6913e2
5. Wei-jie G, Zheng-yi N, Yu H, Wen-hua L, Chun-quan O, Jian-xing H, Lei L, Hong S, Chun-liang L, David S.C. H, Bin D, Lan-juan L, et al., for the China Medical Treatment Expert Group for Covid-19. Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. *The New*

- England Journal of Medicine* 2020. 382; 1708-1729. online; open access. Available from doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2002032
6. Imperial College London. MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis; COVID-19 reports. Available from: <https://www.imperial.ac.uk/mrc-global-infectious-disease-analysis/covid-19/> [accessed 10th April 2020]
 7. Boyce JH, Morris E, Goyder C, Perring J, Kinton J, Nunan D, Khunti K. Diabetes and risk from COVID-19. 2020. Available from: <https://www.cebm.net/covid-19/diabetes-and-risks-from-covid-19/> [accessed 10th April 2020]
 8. Verity R, Okell L C, Dorigatti I, Winskill P, Whittaker C, Imai N, Cuomo-Dannenburg G, Thomson H, Walker PGT, Fu H et al. Estimates of the severity of coronavirus disease 2019: a model-based analysis. *The Lancet; Infectious Diseases*. 2020. 20; 669-677. online; open access. doi: org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30243-7
 9. Ma R C W, Holt R I G. COVID-19 and diabetes. *Diabet Med*. 2020. 7; 723-725. doi: 10.1111/dme.14300. [Epub ahead of print] No abstract available. PMID32242990
 10. Zhou J, Tan J. Diabetes patients with COVID-19 need better blood glucose management in Wuhan, China. *Metabolism*. 2020. 107:154216. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2020.154216. [Epub ahead of print] No abstract available.
 11. Jernigan DH. America is drinking its way through the coronavirus crisis – that means more health woes ahead. 2020. *The Conversation*. Available from: <https://theconversation.com/america-is-drinking-its-way-through-the-coronavirus-crisis-that-means-more-health-woes-ahead-135532> [accessed 10th April 2020]
 12. Klonoff DC, Umpierrez GE. COVID-19 in patients with diabetes: risk factors that increase morbidity. *Metabolism* 2020; 108; 154224. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2020.154224. [Epub ahead of print]
 13. Royal College of Physicians, ABCD, NHS. Specialty guides for patient management during the coronavirus pandemic; Clinical guide for the management of people with diabetes during the coronavirus pandemic. 19 March 2020 Version 2. Available at: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/coronavirus/wp-content/uploads/sites/52/2020/03/speciality-guide-diabetes-19-march-v2-updated.pdf> [Accessed 11th April 2020]
 14. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), *Diabetes Guidelines*. Available from: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/conditions-and-diseases/diabetes-and-other-endocrinal--nutritional-and-metabolic-conditions/diabetes> [accessed 23rd Dec 2019]
 15. NHS London Clinical Network. Outpatient Appointment Prioritisation for Specialist Diabetes Departments during the Coronavirus. Available from: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/london/wp-content/uploads/sites/8/2020/04/4.-Covid-19-Diabetes-Outpatient-Appointment-Prioritisation-Crib-Sheet-27032020.pdf> [accessed 11th April 2020]
 16. De Cosmo S, Copetti M, Lamacchia O, Fontana A, Massa M, Morini E, Pacilli A, Fariello S, Palena A, Rauseo A et al. Development and validation of a predicting model of all-cause mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2013. 36 (9); 2830—2835. Available at: <http://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/36/9/2830.short>
 17. Butalia S, Johnson JA, Ghali W A, Rabi D M. Clinical and socio-demographic factors associated with diabetic ketoacidosis hospitalization in adults with Type 1 diabetes. *Diabetic Medicine*. 2013; 30(5) 567-73. Available at: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/dme.12127>

18. Ochs A, McGurnaghan Stuart, Black MW, Leese GP, Philip S, Sattar N, Styles C, Wild SH, McKeigue PM, Colhoun HM. Use of personalised risk-based screening schedules to optimise workload and sojourn time in screening programmes for diabetic retinopathy: A retrospective cohort study. *PLOS Medicine*. 2019.; 16(10); e1002945 doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002945
19. Retinarisk; available at <https://www.retinarisk.com> [accessed 10th April 2020]
20. Clarke PM, Gray AM, Briggs A, Farmer AJ, Fenn P, Stevens RJ, Matthews DR, Stratton IM, Holman RR and UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group et al. A model to estimate the lifetime health outcomes of patients with type 2 diabetes: the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Outcomes Model (UKPDS no. 68) *Diabetologia*. 2004. 47(10); 1747—1759 available at: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00125-004-1527-z>
21. MyWay Digital Health. MyWayDigitalHealth products; MyDiabetesIQ. Available from: <https://mywaydigitalhealth.co.uk/products-2/> [accessed 10th April 2020]
22. Caul, Sarah. 2020. "Deaths Registered Weekly in England and Wales, Provisional - Office for National Statistics." Office for National Statistics. April 7, 2020. Available at: <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/bulletins/deathsregisteredweeklyinenglandandwalesprovisional/weekending27march2020>
23. Greenhalgh T, Vijayaraghavan S, Wherthon J, Shaw S, Byrne E, Campbell-Richards D, Bhattacharya S, Hanson P, Ramoutar S, Gutteridge C, Hodgkinson I, Collard A, Morris J Virtual online consultations: advantages and limitations (VOCAL) study. *BMJ Open*. 2016; 6(1): e009388. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2015-009388
24. Greenwood DA, Gee PM, Fatkin KJ, Peebles M. A Systematic Review of Reviews Evaluating Technology-Enabled Diabetes Self-Management. *J Diabetes Sci Technol*. 2017; 11(5): 1015-1027
25. Cunningham SG, Stoddart A, Wild SH, Conway N, Wake DJ. Cost-effectiveness of an online education platform: analysis over 10 years. [Conference Abstract]. December 2019 *International Diabetes Federation Conference*, Korea.
26. Hartmann-Boyce J, Morris E, Goyder C, Kinton J, Perring J, Nunan D, Khunti K. Managing Diabetes during the COVID-19 pandemic. 2020 Available at: <https://www.cebm.net/covid-19/managing-diabetes-during-the-covid-19-pandemic/>
27. Maleska A, Hirtz C, Casteleyn E, Villard O, Ducis J, Avignon A, Sultan A, Lehmann S Comparison of HbA1c detection in whole blood and dried blood spots using an automated ion-exchange HPLC system. *Bioanalysis*. 2017; 9(5):427-434. doi: 10.4155/bio-2016-0278.
28. Hall JM, Fowler CF, Barret F, Humphry RW, Van Drimmelen M, MacRury SM. HbA_{1c} determination from HemaSpot™ blood collection devices: comparison of home prepared dried blood spots with standard venous blood analysis. *Diabetic Medicine* 2019. Online ahead of print; doi: 10.1111/dme.14110.
29. Sharma S, Kerry C, Atkins H, Rayman G. The Ipswich Touch Test: a simple and novel method to screen patients with diabetes at home for increased risk of foot ulceration. *Diabetic Medicine* 2014; 31(9); 1100-3.
30. Frykberg RG, Gordon IL, Reyzelman AM, Cazzel SM, Fitzgerald RH, Rothenberg GM, Bloom JF, Peterson BJ, Linders DR, Nouvong A, Najafi B. Feasibility and Efficacy of a Smart Mat Technology to Predict Development of Diabetic Plantar Ulcers *Diabetes Care* 2017. 40(7): 973-980. doi: [10.2337/dc16-2294](https://doi.org/10.2337/dc16-2294)

31. Scottish Diabetic Retinopathy Screening Collaborative. Available from: <https://www.ndrs.scot.nhs.uk> [accessed 10th April 2020]
32. Rajalakshmi R, Arulmalar S, Usha M, Prathiba V, Kareemuddin KS, Anjana R M, Mohan V. Validation of Smartphone Based Retinal Photography for Diabetic retinopathy Screening. *PLoS One*. 2015; 24:10(0); doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.013828
33. Boyle M. Mobile Internet Stats. April 2020. Available at: <https://www.finder.com/uk/mobile-internet-statistics> [accessed 10th April 2020]
34. Office of National Statistics. Internet user, UK: 2019 . Available at: <https://www.ons.gov.uk/businessindustryandtrade/itandinternetindustry/bulletins/internetusers/2019>
35. NHSE National Diabetes Audit. Available at: <https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/clinical-audits-and-registries/national-diabetes-audit>
36. Scotland Diabetes Surveys. Available at: <https://www.scotpho.org.uk/health-wellbeing-and-disease/diabetes/key-points/>
37. Emily Woods. The doctor will Skype you now: digitising health and social care. *Hollyrood* 2020. Available at: https://www.hollyrood.com/inside-politics/view,the-doctor-will-skype-you-now-digitising-health-and-social-care_15155.htm
38. Guo JJ, Gibson JT, Gropper DM, et al. Empiric investigation on direct costs-of-illness and healthcare utilization of Medicaid patients with diabetes mellitus. *Am J Manag Care*. 1998;4:1433–46
39. Ashton CM, Septimus J, Petersen NJ, et al. Healthcare use by veterans treated for diabetes mellitus in the Veterans Affairs medical care system. *Am J Manag Care*. 2003;9:145–50

Figure Legends:

Figure 1:

Figure 1 represents a consensus document to support the management of inpatient diabetes during COVID-19 based on practice from a number of UK centre. Included with permission from lead authors

Figure 2:

Figure 2 represents a consensus flow chart produced by the NHS London Clinical Network for Outpatient Appointment Prioritisation for Specialist Diabetes Departments during the Coronavirus. Included with permission from Stephen Thomas as group lead.

COncise adVice on Inpatient Diabetes (COVID:Diabetes): FRONT DOOR GUIDANCE

DiABETES UK
KNOW DIABETES. FIGHT DIABETES.



NATIONAL INPATIENT DIABETES COVID-19 RESPONSE TEAM

⚠ COVID-19 infection in people with or without previously recognised diabetes increases the risk of the EMERGENCY states of hyperglycaemia with ketones, Diabetic KetoAcidosis (DKA) and Hyperosmolar Hyperglycaemic State (HHS)

Being acutely unwell with suspected/confirmed COVID-19 requires adjustment to standard approaches to diabetes management (see table below).

The guidance in this document is based on experience from UK centres with the greatest experience of looking after patients with COVID-19 disease and will be updated as more evidence becomes available.

WHERE CHANGE SEEN	KEY DIFFERENCE WITH COVID-19	SUGGESTED ACTION
Early in admission	<p>People with COVID-19 infection appear to have a greater risk of hyperglycaemia with ketones including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> People with type 2 diabetes (risk even greater if on a SGLT-2 inhibitor) People with newly diagnosed diabetes <p>COVID-19 disease precipitates atypical presentations of diabetes emergencies (eg, mixed DKA and hyperosmolar states)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Check blood glucose in everybody on admission Check ketones in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> everybody with diabetes being admitted everybody with an admission glucose over 12 mmol/l Stop SGLT-2 inhibitors in all people admitted to hospital Consider using 10-20% glucose where ketosis persists despite treatment in line with usual protocols
Severe illness on admission	<p>Fluid requirements may differ in those with DKA/HHS and evidence of "lung leak" or myocarditis</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> After restoring the circulating volume the rate of fluid replacement regimen may need to be adjusted where evidence of "lung leak" or myocarditis Contact the diabetes specialist team early Early involvement of the critical care team
All inpatient areas	<p>Infusion pumps may not be available to manage hyperglycaemia using intravenous insulin as these are required elsewhere (eg for sedation in ICU)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use alternative s/c regimens to manage <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hyperglycaemia Mild DKA Contact the diabetes specialist team for support
ICU	<p>Significant insulin resistance seen in people with type 2 diabetes in ICU settings</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> IV insulin protocols may need amending (people seen requiring up to 20 units/hr) Patients often nursed prone so feeding may be accidentally interrupted – paradoxical risk of hypoglycaemia

Designed by: Leicester Diabetes Centre


Version 1.0
9/4/2020

Page 1

CONCISE ADVICE ON INPATIENT DIABETES (COVID:Diabetes): GUIDANCE

COVID-19 infection in people with or without previously recognised diabetes increases the risk of the EMERGENCY states of hyperglycaemia with ketones, Diabetic KetoAcidosis (DKA) and Hyperosmolar Hyperglycaemic State (HHS)

Management of Acute Diabetes at the Front Door for Emergency Departments & Acute Medical Units



FURTHER ADVICE ON NEXT PAGE:

FURTHER ADVICE ON INPATIENT DIABETES (COVID:Diabetes):

BLOOD KETONE LEVEL ADVICE:

Blood ketones less than 0.6 mmol/L = SAFE level

Blood ketones 1.5 – 2.9 mmol/L = **INCREASED DKA RISK**

- › PO or IV fluids
- › Consider rapid acting insulin if glucose above 16 mmol/L – 1 unit rapid acting insulin 'typically' expected to lower glucose by anywhere between 1-3 mmol/L. Recheck in 2 hours.

Blood ketones 3 mmol/L or greater then check pH and bicarbonate (venous blood gas). DKA confirmed if high ketones accompanied by:

- › Blood glucose > 11 mmol/L (or history of diabetes) and
- › pH < 7.3 or bicarbonate < 15

⚠ NOTE: Glucose can be < 11 mmol/L if patients are on SGLT-2 inhibitor treatment, pregnant AND/OR severe COVID-19 infection



INSULIN ADVICE – ALWAYS ASK IF YOUR PATIENT IS ON INSULIN

› ALWAYS CONTINUE USUAL LONG ACTING BASAL INSULIN

- › Patients who are very sick or not eating should have a Variable Rate Intravenous Insulin Infusion (VRIII/sliding scale), with usual basal subcutaneous (SC) insulin continued alongside
- › If an infusion pump is not available for IV insulin, contact diabetes team or follow local protocols for an alternative subcutaneous regimen



PATIENTS USING WEARABLE DIABETES TECHNOLOGY

- › If patients are unable to manage their personal insulin pump and no specialist advice is immediately available, start a VRIII or S/C basal-bolus insulin regimen then remove the pump and store it safely. If S/C regime required and not able to find out total daily insulin dose from pump then the following would be safe: calculate total daily insulin dose using 0.5 units/kg and give half the total dose as basal/background insulin and half as bolus/mealtime rapid acting insulin. Example, 0.5 units x 60 kg = total daily insulin dose of 30 units. Give half dose (15 units) as basal insulin and 15 units as bolus insulin (5 units at each meal-time). Ensure that pump is disconnected AFTER S/C basal insulin given.
- › Continuous glucose monitors (CGM) and Freestyle Libre (FSL) devices can be left on the patient but conventional capillary glucose monitoring will still be necessary
- › For imaging, insulin pumps, Continuous Glucose Monitors (CGM) and FreeStyle Libre (FSL) devices need to be removed for magnetic scans such as MRI




FOOTNOTES

- › ALWAYS need to exclude acute foot infection (may be the source of sepsis) or critical limb ischaemia
- › ALWAYS ensure foot intact and protected

⚠ TAKE ACTION ON ACUTE FOOT DISEASE AS PER LOCAL DIABETIC FOOT PROTOCOLS



Outpatient Appointment Prioritisation for Specialist Diabetes Departments during the Coronavirus pandemic



Date approved 26.03.20

1